UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,063	10/21/2004	Philippe Odier	032013-105	8567
21839 7590 03/28/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	WALTERS JR, ROBERT S		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4172	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/512,063	ODIER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT S. WALTERS JR	4172
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 I</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the defended or b) for objected to by the defended or by the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-8, drawn to a process for the preparation of a micron-scale film of  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  ( $y \le 0.08$ ) on a substrate.

Group II, claims 9-16, drawn to a substrate coated with a micron-scale film of  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$  ( $y \le 0.08$ ).

# PCT:Lack of Unity Posteriori

Lack of unity of invention may be may only become apparent "a posteriori," that is, after taking the prior art into consideration, in the case of independent claims to A + X and A + Y, unity of invention(i.e. species) is present a posteriori as A is common to both claims.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature which is referred to Annex B of Appendix A1 of the MPEP(Administrative Instructions under the PCT, "Unity of Invention"). The express "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art."(Rule 13.2). Unity exists only when there is a technical relationship among

Application/Control Number: 10/512,063 Page 3

Art Unit: 4116

the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding claimed special technical features. In this case, the technical feature shared by each invention is the micron-scale film of YBCO.

The question of unity of invention has been reconsidered retroactively by the examiner in view of the search performed; a review of Hollmann, Razumov, and Tumarkin (Physica C, 338, 2000, pgs 246-250) makes clear that the inventions of the groups I and II lack the same or corresponding special technical feature because the cited reference appears to demonstrate that the claimed technical feature does not define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. This is because Hollmann, Razumov, and Tumarkin teach the technical feature of a micron-scale film of YBCO, but they teach it being prepared by a materially different method than that of claims 1-8, namely, DC magnetron sputtering (see pg 247 under heading 2). Accordingly, the prior art of the record supports restriction of the claimed subject matter to the groups as mentioned immediately above.

#### Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

All pending claims are subject to restriction/election requirement.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,063 Page 4

Art Unit: 4116

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ROBERT S. WALTERS JR whose telephone number is

(571)270-5351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30am to

5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571)272-0579. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ROBERT S. WALTERS JR/

March 14, 2008

Examiner, Art Unit 4172

/Vickie Kim/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4116